

I Genl Sit.

- Longview
- T/S
1. A war still exists
 2. Sino-Sov + over
 3. Arms race intensifies
 4. Propag aggressive sit
 5. Sides have been taken, no neutral of suff power

3. 1. The war still will last for next 10 yrs.
- 2 Sov leaders are inflexible
- 3 Have announced orders to destroy us.
- 4 Their tanks permit advances, but a standstill.
- 5 Bloc is strong & not weakening
- 6 i.e. no chance of lessening extreme measures by US.

II Strengths & Weaknesses of contestants. a Sov Bloc

3. 1 econ & mil strength of Bloc is increasing
- 2 Bloc can take whatever action necessary to concentrate effort. v. w.
4. 1. Bloc will stay together 10 yrs. - obvi under K.
2. Sats will be under K
- 3a C will develop. So type society & he in USSR
VRP/R
3. Bloc econ push expand.
5. 1. Bloc will acquire A-bomb stockpile and
wh -- wd destroy road for of W.
- 2 USSR will improve means of defense.
- 3 .. " " " " " " " "
- 4 " " " maintain present line of cont

6. 1. Orth. bloc in may show weaknesses.
 2. Fault lines of over-centralization
 3. Shakti of Soc.
 4. Inter & Inter sect classes ch.
 5 press a c/war in S/U.

7. 1. Cost of keeping sects. - shaks & shiv.
 2 PBS Sims - Soc. friction.
 3. Both sides may be vulnerable to one plw.

b The West

8. 1. W. is an all not a sun + sat.
 2. W. is lib democ + nationalist
 3 Both a strength & a weakness
 Strength ---
 4 Weakness --- ats of exist.
 advertises disengagement
 5 Resources of W are great, but margin diff &
 fee of pub op.
 6 Could end direction of pub op.

9. 1. If he goes along as now in sec, morale & bank op
 ism will grow in W coalition among members.
 2. Some of latter may join us.
 3 Prod & prodive capac will grow, ~~but~~ & at a
 sup rate to widen the gap.
 4. W. will also support over block in #3.

10. 1. W. will expand scope of orth banks - if delinquent
 will destroy w/pic of India.
 2. W. will improve ~~coop~~
 3 " " ~~test and fence~~
 4. Convenant forces will increase

11. 1. Oph - war has its dips with origin of resources.
 2. our main allies have to adapt to having def in
 account.
 3. we don't see any answer.
 4. econ rivalries with W. could a poss.
12. another major if for the war is instab of backward
 areas. - but to C.P.
 2. op's in countries in coalit & As-1f. 2
13. 1. Finally poss of pursue of sep pols.
 2. eg Germany & Japan
 3. W. Germ out fed so big as we start the
 war
 4. This wd be bad in vast & wide.

III C & A of the Contenders

14. 1. Armed conflict has come in some sets
 2. at present only peripheral areas are
 being contested wrth arms.
 3. neither side feels it has enough of a jump
 on the other to start a war.
 4. Ea side will try to get bal of power on its
 side.

15. 1. If neither side gets a clear prepos of power
 neither is likely able to start full war.
 2. we can't say whether either side will get
 this prepos in war.
 3. many levels & methods it come out so.
 tech adams 2000/08/29 : CIA-RDP79S01011A000800040019-8
 depens, no air def.

16. 1. was not be able to - if it appeared unavoidable
stage in bld of mil pow were developing
2. was - also - of a - that h was going to attack
3. Can't say anything of these.

17. 1. If G.W. shd come more likely or a soon --
2. Sets of tension abroad.
3 others will appear.

18. 1. Can't finger the pr of greater danger.
2. don't believe K has set a date
3. sets above are unpredict & time
4. danger inspec & will so remain
5 enough - pr of danger is is determinable by
W as much as by USSR

19. 1. Even at own intense cold war.
2. S/V may prefer cold war to other
3. Sov doctrine cautious re war.
4 Sov leaders believe we decaying
5 Sov " w'd not regard w. cap of stabilizing
6. Stalin says so

20. 1. Sovs " have config in cold war
2. may use armed forces merely as the back up
to p/w
3 may go into local wars
4 " consider can make West a fire break
5 " est that threat of N-attack vs certain W.
Nations w/o can then be compelled

21. Sov obj are promoted by our vols
2. K will exploit
3. And call degree of K success - fed of our vols -
4. Can say 1/2 health, 1/2 - was a threat of our vols -

Morals to be drawn.

West faces dangers.

war (hot) by actions --

Cold war, in any event, wh may end in complete isolation of US - we will have had it.

To this latter we may contribute by not doing right econly with allies shutting eyes to dep areas.

war (hot) by design. - we are attacked

To this we can contribute by no air def. If we get it, it will be bad.

(sr - any chance of trying to quote odds on likelihood of 1, 2, or 3.)

U.S. objectives:

1. To secure for all time the right of the American people to work out their individual & collective destinies according to the principles of liberal democracy.

Menacing to this objective are

1. war, Hol
2. Threat of war, Hol
3. Cold war
4. Insecurity in the world balance of power - eg isolation
5. Economic disruption, worldwide
of Domestic
2. To be surrounded in the world by as a maximum number of other peoples with identical objectives.

78

Approx 1st sentence of new P 1.

Altho we believe that war is not inevitable, the present situation is such that ~~but~~ we believe that the odds are somewhat greater than even (global)
that war will come in the forthcoming decade.

CONFIDENTIAL

1. Surprise ~ 7
Nature:
Econ

2. Atomic Caf ~

CONFIDENTIAL